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“RE Address & Entity for Invoice.msg”
Of course, totally understand! I’ve sent your invoice for processing to our accountant. Let me know if there’s anything else.

Best,
Stephanie

From: Tom Hofeller [mailto:celticheal@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 4:58 PM
To: Stephanie Edelman <Stephanie@stephanieedelman.com>
Subject: RE: Address & Entity for Invoice

Thank you so much. It’s just that I have to keep my public statements simple outside of the expert court witness work I do.

From: Stephanie Edelman [mailto:Stephanie@stephanieedelman.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 3:22 PM
To: celticheal@aol.com<mailto:celticheal@aol.com>
Subject: Re: Address & Entity for Invoice

Absolutely, that is fine, and just to reiterate at this point the only intention with the Beacon is to use it as the vehicle to fund the report—there are no immediate plans to publish anything on this report in the Beacon. If there were down the road, we would certainly discuss with you before proceeding. Sorry for any inconvenience or undue stress this has caused!

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

------ Original message------

From: Tom Hofeller
Date: Tue, Sep 1, 2015 3:15 PM
To: Stephanie Edelman;
Subject: RE: Address & Entity for Invoice

How about the commitment on attribution?
Yes, you are correct --that is the purpose of the report and I’ll relay all this info to my boss. He had just mentioned in passing yesterday the possibility of a media write up as an afterthought, but that was not the purpose of the report and I highly doubt that will even be pursued--but regardless the Beacon is the entity we will pay from. Hope this helps, and of course we are happy to discuss further!

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

----- Original message-----

From: Tom Hofeller

Date: Tue, Sep 1, 2015 12:12 PM

To: Stephanie Edelman;

Subject: RE: Address & Entity for Invoice

Stephanie:

When I undertook this project I understood that the purpose of the report was to inform a decision on the part of your client regarding a funding decision for the Evenwel Plaintiffs. Understanding this, I did the report for that purpose. If I had known that a media source was involved, which I didn’t, I would have required an understanding as to the use of the information.

I am OK with your use of this report as long as there is a prior agreement on attribution. My position is that the report would not be attributed either directly or indirectly. Perhaps we need to discuss this.

I do not feel that any of the information, in general, will be any surprise to interested parties, except for the original stated reason for which it was commissioned. I trust we can easily agree on this issue.

My invoice is attached.

Tom

From: Stephanie Edelman [mailto:Stephanie@stephanieedelman.com]

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2015 4:38 PM

To: ‘Tom Hofeller’

Subject: RE: Address & Entity for Invoice

Hi, that was not the initial purpose of the report, which is to inform our principal's decision whether or not to fund a group handling the Evenwel lawsuit, although my boss mentioned it as a possibility that the Beacon could write something up on it, but would that problematic? Please let me know if so!
From: Tom Hofeller [mailto:celticheal@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2015 3:58 PM
To: Stephanie Edelman <Stephanie@stephanieedelman.com>
Subject: RE: Address & Entity for Invoice

Is this report going to be used as a basis for an article in the Free Beacon?

From: Stephanie Edelman [mailto:Stephanie@stephanieedelman.com]
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2015 2:40 PM
To: 'Tom Hofeller'
Subject: Address & Entity for Invoice

Hi, Tom,

You can invoice us the Washington Free Beacon, at 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 2600, Arlington, VA 22209. If electronic invoice is easiest, you’re welcome to send it directly to me. If you want to mail a hard copy, you can address it to my attention. Let me know if you have any questions. Many thanks again for such a detailed report!

Best,
Stephanie

Confidentiality Notice:
The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments may be legally privileged, proprietary and/or confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, copying, disclosure or distribution of all or any portion of this e-mail and any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender, permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments, and destroy all hard copies immediately.

No warranty is made as to the completeness or accuracy of the information contained in this communication. Any views or opinions presented are those of only the author and do not necessarily represent those of any entity with which the sender may be affiliated in any capacity. This communication is for information purposes only and should not be regarded as an offer, solicitation, coordination or endorsement of any kind.

Thank you.
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"RE Did you see Hannity.msg"
Strickland, Shemaiah

From: christa jones <xtahonez@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 6, 2010 9:28 AM
To: Tom Hofeller
Subject: RE: Did you see Hannity?

We estimate every percentage point in the response rate is worth about $80-90 million—that's a soft number though, we're trying to recalculate. That's about one million or so households.

Christa D Jones Washington, DC

From: celticheal@aol.com
To: xtahonez@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Did you see Hannity?
Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 20:01:38 -0500

So how many extra questionnaires would have to be answered to make this ad worthwhile?

From: christa jones [mailto:xtahonez@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 05, 2010 6:42 PM
To: Tom Hofeller; Tom Hofeller
Subject: Did you see Hannity?

http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,584929,00.html

They could really hurt the census. What do you think?

Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free. Sign up now. <http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469229/direct/01/>
Hotmail: Trusted email with powerful SPAM protection. Sign up now.
<http://clk.atdmt.com/GBL/go/201469227/direct/01/>
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“RE Redistricting Article.msg”
Strickland, Shemaiah

From: Michael Smith <smithmichl@majorityamerica.net>
Sent: Thursday, January 7, 2010 8:28 PM
To: 'Tom Hofeller - Redistricting'; celticheal@aol.com
Cc: ncs-va@msn.com; chapin.fay@mail.house.gov; charlie.black@bksh.com; chip.Lake@mail.house.gov; chip_lake@bellsouth.net; xthonez@hotmail.com; davella@gopac.org; dwinston@winstongroup.net
Subject: RE: Redistricting Article

I can live with it.

M

From: Tom Hofeller - Redistricting [mailto:thofeller@rnchq.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 07, 2010 11:49 AM
To: celticheal@aol.com
Cc: ncs-va@msn.com; chapin.fay@mail.house.gov; charlie.black@bksh.com; chip.Lake@mail.house.gov; chip_lake@bellsouth.net; xthonez@hotmail.com; davella@gopac.org; dwinston@winstongroup.net
Subject: Redistricting Article

FYI. A little slanted, but it touches many of the bases.

Thomas B Hofeller
Redistricting Consultant
Office (202) 863-8816
Cell (703) 623-0764
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"Fw 2010 Census -- Myths and Misconceptions.msg"
Strickland, Shemaiah

From: christa.d.jones@census.gov
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2010 11:07 AM
To: amarkneu@aol.com; celticheal@aol.com
Subject: Fw: 2010 Census -- Myths and Misconceptions

FYI...

----- Forwarded by Christa D Jones/DMD/HQ/BOC on 03/19/2010 11:05 AM -----

From: Burton H Reist/DIR/HQ/BOC
To: "Stephen Buckner" <stephen.l.buckner@census.gov>, Angela M Manso/CAO/HQ/BOC@BOC, Michele H Lowe/PIO/HQ/BOC@BOC, "Stuart Durst" <stuart.p.durst.jr@census.gov>, James L Dinwiddie/DMD/HQ/BOC@BOC, "Christa Jones" <christa.d.jones@census.gov>
Date: 03/19/2010 10:48 AM
Subject: 2010 Census -- Myths and Misconceptions

Please forward to stakeholders as appropriate...

The 2010 Census is now in full gear. As with any operation this large, there is bound to be misleading information circulated about nature and goals of the endeavor. Your office or your constituents may be receiving emails or inquiries about misconceptions on the Census.

The independent website Factcheck.org, has today posted a point by point rebuttal of this video. I draw your attention to it as it represents an independent defense of the Census Bureau and a comprehensive explanation of the 2010 Census, and its relationship with the other surveys conducted by the Census Bureau. This post is helpful in debunking many of the myths about the Census currently making the rounds, and I urge you to share it with your stakeholders and constituents.


You may also find the blog of Census Director Robert Groves on our website useful to answer other questions. You can find it on our homepage at www.2010census.gov.

Additionally, you may be hearing questions about the constitutional origins of the census or where in law the census questionnaire is authorized. For more information on these questions, we have created a page on our website here:
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"Fwd FR Notice.msg"
This can also be an opportunity to mention citizenship as well.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> From: Christa D Jones <xtadjones@hotmail.com>
> Date: January 6, 2015 at 2:47:15 PM EST
> To: Tom Hofeller - Hofeller <celticheal@aol.com>
> Subject: FR Notice
> 
> The notice ends on 2/27. Public comments highly useful in this context.
> 
> https://federalregister.gov/a/2014-28247
> 
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“Reservation at Ramparts Tavern.msg”
Strickland, Shemaiah

From: Christa Jones <xtadjones@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2015 11:32 AM
To: Tom Hofeller
Subject: Reservation at Ramparts Tavern

Let's eat! I reserved a table for 3 at Ramparts Tavern.

When:
Friday, April 24 at 6:30 PM

Address:
1700 Fern Street
Alexandria, VA, 22302
Get directions

This reservation was made through OpenTable. Download the free iPhone app.

Sent from my iPhone