Case 2:21-at-01225 Document 1 Filed 12/20/21 Page 1 of 11

1	Thomas A. Saenz (Cal. Bar No. 159430)					
2	Deylin O. Thrift-Viveros (Cal. Bar No. 306873) MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE					
3	AND EDUCATIONAL FUND 634 South Spring Street, 11 th Floor					
4	Los Angeles, CA 90014 Telephone: (213) 629-2512					
5	Facsimile: (213) 629-0266 Email: tsaenz@maldef.org					
6	dthrift-viveros@maldef.org					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class					
8						
9						
10		S DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA				
11		TO DIVISION				
12						
13	MARIELA AGUILAR VELAZQUEZ, on behalf of herself and all others similarly	Case No.:				
14	situated,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. § 1981 AND CALIFORNIA				
15	Plaintiff, vs.	STATE LAW; INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND				
16		DAMAGES				
17	ALLY BANK,	CLASS ACTION				
18	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
19		DEMAND FOR JUNE 1 INIAL				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Plaintiff

Plaintiff Mariela Aguilar Velazquez ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff Aguilar"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by her attorneys brings the following allegations, based upon information and belief, against Defendant Ally Bank ("Defendant" or "Ally Bank"):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Defendant Ally Bank follows a policy of denying full access to checking and savings accounts, in addition to other banking products and services, to applicants who are not United States citizens or Legal Permanent Residents ("LPRs").
- 2. Plaintiff Aguilar and members of the Class she seeks to represent were and are unable to access Defendant's financial services without unequal conditions imposed upon them based on their immigration status. Plaintiff brings this case against Ally Bank for unlawful discrimination on the basis of alienage in violation of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("Section 1981"), and the Unruh Civil Rights Act, as codified at California Civil Code §§ 51, et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's Section 1981 claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 4. This Court may issue a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 6. Intradistrict Assignment: Under E.D. Cal. Local Rule 120(e) and Appendix A, intradistrict assignment to the Sacramento Division is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims asserted occurred in Sacramento County.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Mariela Aguilar Velazquez is a resident of Sacramento, California and has been a recipient of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) since 2012. As part of

- 1 -

the DACA initiative, Plaintiff Aguilar received authorization to work in the United States and a Social Security Number. Plaintiff Aguilar resided in Sacramento on the date she applied to open a checking and savings account with Defendant and was unlawfully denied.

8. Plaintiff Aguilar and members of the Class she seeks to represent were subjected to the violations described in this Complaint.

Defendant

- 9. Defendant Ally Bank is a direct banking subsidiary of Ally Financial Inc. Ally Bank is a Utah corporation registered with the California Secretary of State as a foreign corporation qualified to conduct business in the State of California.
- Defendant maintains a registered office and a business and mailing address at 200
 West Civic Center Drive, Sandy, Utah, 84070.
- 11. Ally Bank offers consumers a range of financial and credit products, including retail banking services, investing products, personal loans, auto loan, and home mortgages.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. This action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Aguilar and members of the proposed Plaintiff Class. This class seeks damages, declaratory, and injunctive relief.

Plaintiff Aguilar

- 13. Plaintiff Aguilar is a recipient of DACA, and has been since 2012. Since that time, she has continuously possessed an employment authorization card and Social Security Number. Plaintiff Aguilar graduated from California State University, Sacramento with a Bachelor of Science degree in business administration in 2015.
- 14. Plaintiff Aguilar has worked as a civil servant for the State of California since August 2019. Plaintiff Aguilar works as a customer-employer agent for the California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS"). In this capacity, she answers questions regarding the CalPERS retirement program and assists state and school employees to enroll in the program.
- 15. On or around November 2, 2020, Plaintiff Aguilar applied to open a checking and savings account with Ally Bank through its online portal. Plaintiff Aguilar completed the

https://www.ally.com/help/bank/opening-account.html

application and uploaded her employment authorization card and Social Security Number obtained under DACA.

- 16. On or around November 4, 2020, Plaintiff Aguilar received a phone call from an Ally Bank representative informing Plaintiff Aguilar that she is ineligible to open a checking and savings account with Ally Bank because she is not a U.S. citizen or an LPR. Plaintiff Aguilar asked the representative whether this denial was allowed by law. The representative answered that it is Ally Bank's policy to deny anyone who is not a U.S. citizen or permanent resident.
- 17. Afterwards, Plaintiff Aguilar received a letter from Ally Bank, dated November 5, 2020, confirming receipt of her online application. The letter from Ally Bank stated, in relevant part: "We can't open Ally Bank accounts for you right now because we're unable to confirm you're a U.S. citizen or resident alien based on the information you provided. We only offer accounts to U.S. citizens and resident aliens."
- 18. Defendant's website contains a section specifically for banking services. This section includes webpages for opening a checking and/or savings account. The initial "Open Account" page on Ally Bank's website indicates that each account owner must provide "A Social Security or Tax Identification Number." Additionally, each webpage contains a "Help Center" section. On the "Opening an Account FAQs" webpage, one of the questions is "If I'm not a U.S. citizen, can I still open an account?" The webpage provides that "To open an account with Ally Bank or Ally Invest: You have to be a citizen or a legal permanent resident of the United States . . . We don't open accounts for non-resident aliens." That same answer further provides: "For bank accounts, you can use your Social Security number or your Taxpayer Identification number."
- 19. Plaintiff Aguilar suffered harm as a result of Ally Bank's denial of her checking and savings account application because of her alienage. Ally Bank's denial of her application caused Plaintiff Aguilar to suffer emotional distress.

1	20.	Plaintiff Aguilar has never been denied the opportunity to open a checking or				
2	savings account at other lending institutions because of her immigration status. Ally Bank's					
3	denial of her application caused Plaintiff Aguilar to feel discriminated against and excluded from					
4	the United States.					
5	Ally Bank's Policies Are Unlawful and Harm Plaintiff					
6	21.	Ally Bank's refusal to offer Plaintiff an opportunity to open a checking or savings				
7	account because of its limited and arbitrary immigration status requirements violates 42 U.S.C. §					
8	1981.					
9	22.	Ally Bank's refusal to offer Plaintiff an opportunity to open a checking or savings				
10	account because of her immigration status violates the California Unruh Civil Rights Act.					
11	23.	There is an actual and substantial controversy between Plaintiff and Ally Bank.				
12	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS					
13	24.	Plaintiff Aguilar incorporates by reference the allegations raised in all preceding				
14	paragraphs.					
15	25.	Plaintiff Aguilar brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly				
16	situated under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a nationwide class.					
17	26.	Plaintiff Aguilar seeks to represent the following nationwide Class ("National				
18	Class"), composed of and defined as follows:					
19		All persons who resided in the United States at the relevant time they				
20		applied for or attempted to apply for a checking or savings account from Ally Bank but were denied full and equal consideration by Ally Bank on				
21		the basis of alienage.				
22	27.	Plaintiff Aguilar additionally brings class allegations on behalf of a California				
23	Subclass composed of and defined as follows:					
24		All persons who resided in California at the relevant time they applied for				
25	or attempted to apply for a checking or savings account from Ally Bank be were denied full and equal consideration by Ally bank on the basis of the					
26		immigration status.				
27						

Case 2:21-at-01225 Document 1 Filed 12/20/21 Page 6 of 11

1	28. Plaintiff may amend the above class definitions as permitted or required by this					
2	Court. This action has been brought and may be properly maintained as a class action under the					
3	provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because all of the prerequisites for					
4	class treatment are met.					
5	Rule 23(a)(1) – Numerosity					
6	29. The potential members of the above National Class and California Subclass as					
7	defined are so numerous that joinder is impracticable.					
8	30. On information and belief, Defendant's records will provide information as to the					
9	number and location of the National Class and California Subclass members that will allow the					
10	class to be ascertained.					
11	Rule 23(a)(2) – Common Questions of Law and Fact					
12	31. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class predominating over any					
13	questions affecting only Plaintiff Aguilar or any other individual Class Members. These					
14	common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:					
15	a. Whether it is Ally Bank's policy to reject applicants for financial products					
16	because they are not U.S. citizens or permanent residents;					
17	b. Whether Ally Bank violated 42 U.S.C. § 1981 by denying the full and equal right					
18	to contract to Plaintiff Aguilar and the National Class on the basis of alienage;					
19	c. Whether Ally Bank violated the California Unruh Civil Rights Act by denying					
20	full and equal access to its services to Plaintiff Aguilar and the California Subclass on the basis					
21	of their immigration statuses;					
22	d. Whether Plaintiff Aguilar and the Class Members are entitled to declaratory,					
23	injunctive, and other equitable relief; and					
24	e. Whether Plaintiff Aguilar and the Class Members are entitled to damages and any					
25	other available relief.					
26	///					
27	///					

__

Rule 23(a)(3) – Typicality

32. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typically of the claims of the Class.

Plaintiff Aguilar and all Class Members sustained injuries and damages arising out of and caused by Defendant's common course of conduct and common policies in violation of Federal and California laws, regulations, and statutes as alleged here.

Rule 23(a)(4) - Adequacy of Representation

- 33. Plaintiff Aguilar will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members.
- 34. Plaintiff Aguilar has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex litigation and discrimination class actions.

Rule 23(b)(2) - Declaratory, Equitable, and Injunctive Relief

- 35. Class certification is appropriate because Ally Bank has acted and/or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the National Class and California Subclass. Ally Bank's actions make appropriate declaratory, equitable, and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class Members as a whole.
- 36. Ally Bank excludes Class Members in the National Class and California Subclass outright from banking products and services on the basis of alienage and immigration status. The Class Members of the National Class and California Subclass are entitled to declaratory, equitable, and injunctive relief to end Ally Bank's common, unfair, and discriminatory policies.

Rule 23(b)(3) - Superiority of Class Action

37. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all Class Members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. Each member of the proposed Class has been damaged and is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendant's unlawful policies and practices of discriminating on the basis of immigration status and denying full and equal access to Defendant's services.

Case 2:21-at-01225 Document 1 Filed 12/20/21 Page 8 of 11

1	38. No other litigation concerning this controversy has been commenced by or against						
2	Class Members.						
3	39. Class action treatment will allow those similarly-situated persons to litigate their						
4	claims in the manner that is most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system						
5	It is unlikely that individual Class Members have any interest in individually controlling separate						
6	actions in this case. The Class Members have been damaged and are entitled to recovery of						
7	statutory penalties under the Unruh Civil Rights Act and other damages, not including actual						
8	damages, because of Ally Bank's discriminatory policies. Damages are capable of measurement						
9	on a class-wide basis. Plaintiff Aguilar and Class Members will rely on common evidence to						
10	resolve their legal and factual questions, including the applicable banking policies and practices						
11	in the relevant period.						
12	40. Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties that are likely to be encountered in the						
13	management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. The benefits of						
14	maintaining this action on a class basis far outweigh any administrative burden in managing the						
15	class action. Conducting the case as a class action would be far less burdensome than						
16	prosecuting numerous individual actions.						
17	EVECT CV A DA FOR REVIEW						
18	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Alienage Discrimination						
19	(42 U.S.C. § 1981)						
20	41. Plaintiff Aguilar incorporates by reference the allegations raised in all preceding						
21	paragraphs.						
22	42. Plaintiff Aguilar brings this claim on her own behalf and on behalf of the National						
23	Class.						
24	43. Plaintiff and Class Members are persons within the jurisdiction of the United						
25	States.						
26	44. Plaintiff and Class Members are aliens.						
27							

- 45. Plaintiff and Class Members have the right to make and enforce contracts in the United States and are entitled to the full and equal benefits of the law.
- 46. Defendant conducts business in the United States and, as such, is obligated to comply with the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.
- 47. Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff and members of the Class on the basis of alienage by denying them the opportunity to open a checking or savings account.
- 48. Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff and members of the Class by interfering with their right to make and enforce contracts for banking products on the basis of alienage.
- 49. Plaintiff and Class Members have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged here. Plaintiff and Class Members request that the Court issue a permanent injunction ordering Defendant to alter its banking policies and practices to prevent further violations on the basis of alienage.
- 50. Plaintiff and Class Members are now suffering, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury from Ally Bank's discriminatory acts and omissions.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act (California Civil Code §§ 51, et seq.)

- 51. Plaintiff Aguilar incorporates by reference the allegations raised in all preceding paragraphs.
- 52. Plaintiff Aguilar brings this claim on her own behalf and on behalf of the California Subclass.
- 53. Plaintiff and class members are persons within the jurisdiction of the State of California and resided in California at the time of Defendant's discriminatory acts.
- 54. Defendant conducts business within the jurisdiction of the State of California and, as such, is obligated to comply with the provisions of the Unruh Act, California Civil Code §§ 51, et seq.

- 55. Plaintiff and class members are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever no matter their immigration status and no business establishment of any kind whatsoever may refuse to contract with Plaintiff and class members because of or due in part to their immigration status.
- 56. Defendant violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act by denying Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass the opportunity to open a checking or savings account free of discriminatory conditions imposed on the basis of their immigration status.
- 57. Under Section 52(a) of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, Plaintiff and members of the California Subclass are entitled to actual damages suffered, statutory damages of up to three times the amount of actual damages suffered per violation, but no less than \$4,000, and attorneys' fees.
- 58. Under Section 52(c), Plaintiff requests that this Court issue a permanent injunction ordering Defendant to alter its lending policies and practices to prevent future discrimination on the basis of an applicant's immigration status and to prevent further violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Aguilar and the Class she seeks to represent pray for relief as follows:

- i. Certification of the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Class Members in the National Class and California Subclass;
- ii. Designation of Plaintiff Aguilar as the class representative on behalf of the National Class and California Subclass;
- iii. Designation of Plaintiff's counsel of record as Class Counsel;

Case 2:21-at-01225 Document 1 Filed 12/20/21 Page 11 of 11

1	iv.	That this Court issue a	declaratory judgment that Defendant's policies and					
2		practices complained of	of here are unlawful and violate 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and the					
3		California Unruh Civil	Rights Act;					
4	v.	v. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant and its officers,						
5		agents, successors, em	ployees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in					
6		concert with them, from	m engaging in each of the unlawful policies and practices					
7		set forth herein;						
8	vi.	That this Court award statutory and compensatory damages to Plaintiff and the						
9		Class Members in an amount to be determined at trial;						
10	vii.	i. That this court award to Plaintiff and Class Members reasonable attorneys' fees						
11		and costs to the extent allowable by law;						
12	viii.	ii. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.						
13	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
14	Plaintiff hereby demands trial of these claims by jury to the extent authorized by law.							
15								
16	Dated: Dece	ember 20, 2021						
17								
18	Respectfully	y submitted,	MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND					
19			/s/ Deylin O. Thrift-Viveros					
20			Deylin O. Thrift-Viveros					
21			Thomas A. Saenz MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE					
22			AND EDUCATIONAL FUND					
23			Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class					
24								
25								
26								
27								
28			- 10 -					
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAIN							

United States District Court

for the

Eastern Distric Distriction and Eastern Distriction an

Aguilar Velazquez, Mariela)				
Plaintiff(s) V. Ally Bank Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No.))))				
GYD MONG D	A CHAN A COMON				
SUMMONS IN	NA CIVIL ACTION				
Ally Bank To: (Defendant's name and address) 200 West Civic Center Drive, Sandy, Utah, 84070					
A largerit has been filed a gainst you					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Deylin O. Thrift-Viveros (Cal. Bar No. 306873) MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND 634 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
	CLERK OF COURT				
Data					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

IS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the Grandian Contained Object of the Proposition of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the Unit purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Aguilar Velazquez, Mariela				Ally Bank						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sacramento				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) Salt Lake City ONLY)						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNAT	ION CASES, USE TH		OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)						
Thomas A. Sae	nz (Cal. Bar No. 159	430)								
Deylin O. Thriπ- MEXICAN AME	·Vivèros (Cal. Bar No ·RICAN LEGAL DEF	ENSE								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA					
1 U.S. Government x 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) Proposed of This State	FF DEF 1 1	Incorporated or Pri		PTF 4	DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	zen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State				<u> </u>	5	
				en or Subject of a eign Country				<u> </u>	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	•	• •	p.o	DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	•	e for: Nature of S				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure		NKRUPTCY peal 28 USC 158	375 False 0	Claims Act		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423 Wi	thdrawal USC 157 ELLECTUAL	376 Qui Ta 3729(400 State I	am (31 US0 a))	С	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				ERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust			
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				820 Copyrights 830 Patent		430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	l			835 Patent - Abbreviated		460 Deportation		
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability				w Drug Application ademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Y LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards		fend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)			
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act 720 Labor/Management Relations		485 Telephone				
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ ⁷²			AL SECURITY A (1395ff)	Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV			
196 Franchise	Injury	Injury 385 Property Damage		740 Railway Labor Act		861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)		ties/Comm	iodities/	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	\Box ⁷⁵	1 Family and Medical Leave Act		WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI	Excha 890 Other		Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation	865 RS	I (405(g))	891 Agricu			
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Voting 463 Alien Detainee		1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	893 Enviro			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	•	Ž	870 Ta	xes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act			
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				Defendant) S—Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admir		rocedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	7	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609			eview or A _J		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration			Agenc 950 Consti	y Decision tutionality		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State S	Statutes		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	Commencia			1		1			
1		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	stated or 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	on -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Star 42 U.S.C. § 1981	atute under which you are filing		Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless a	liversity):				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Discrimination on the basis of alienage in provi			ding financ	cial products.						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.) Di	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only IURY DEMAND:	if demanded in	n complai		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	KET NUMBER				
DATE 12/20/2021		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD	<u> </u>					
12/20/2021 /s/ Delylin Thrift-Viveros FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		МАС. ЈИГ	OGE			