1 2 3	Thomas A. Saenz (SBN 159430) Luis L. Lozada (SBN 344357) MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND	E-FILED 1/5/2024 10:14 AM Superior Court of California County of Fresno
4	634 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014	By: I. Herrera, Deputy
5	Telephone: (213) 629-2512 Facsimile: (213) 629-0266 Emeil: tageng@maldaf.org	
6	Email: tsaenz@maldef.org llozada@maldef.org	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
8		
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY	OF FRESNO
12	NOEMI PERAZA LOPEZ, an individual, on	24CECG00076 Case No.:
13	behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE
14	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
15	VS.	VIOLATIONS OF THE UNRUH CIVIL
16	NOBLE CREDIT UNION,	RIGHTS ACT; INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND
17	Defendant.	DAMAGES
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Plaintiff Noemi Peraza Lopez ("Plaintiff" or "Peraza"), individually and on behalf of all	
2	others similarly situated, by her attorneys brings the following allegations, based upon information	
3	and belief, against Defendant Noble Credit Union ("Defendant" or "Noble"):	
4	INTRODUCTION	
5	1. Defendant Noble follows a policy of denying full access to credit products to	
6	applicants who are not U.S. citizens or Lawful Permanent Residents.	
7 8	2. Plaintiff Peraza and members of the Class she seeks to represent were and are	
° 9	unable to access Defendant's credit products without unequal conditions imposed upon them on	
10	the basis of their alienage or immigration status. Plaintiff brings this case against Noble for	
11	unlawful discrimination based on alienage or immigration status in violation of the Unruh Civil	
12	Rights Act ("Unruh Act"), as codified at California Civil Code §§ 51, et seq.	
13	3. Defendant's violations have inflicted harm on Plaintiff and the Class, she seeks to	
14	represent, including in providing access to credit products with unfavorable terms and conditions,	
15 16	and in inflicting emotional distress.	
17	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
18	4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction because the total amount of damages	
19	exceeds \$25,000 and the relief requested is within the jurisdiction of this Court.	
20	5. Venue as to Defendant is proper in the County of Fresno under California Code of	
21	Civil Procedure § 393. Defendant maintains its headquarters, transacts business, and has agents	
22	in Fresno County, and Defendant is otherwise within this Court's jurisdiction for purposes of	
23	service of process. The unlawful acts alleged here have a direct effect on Plaintiff and those	
24 25	similarly situated within the State of California and Fresno County. Defendant operates services	
23 26	in Fresno County, as well as in other counties within the State of California.	
27		
28		
	- 1 - CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

1		PARTIES
1 2	Plaintiff	
3	6.	Plaintiff Noemi Peraza Lopez is a resident of Fresno, California and has lived in
4		
5		tates since 1995. She arrived to the United States from Sinaloa, Mexico when she
6	was less than three months old. She is 28 years old and currently works at a bank as a cash	
7	management representative.	
8	7.	Plaintiff Peraza has been a Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA)
9	recipient sinc	ee 2013 or 2014. As a DACA recipient, Plaintiff Peraza is authorized to work in the
10		
11	that she applied for an auto loan with Defendant and was unlawfully denied.	
12	8.	Plaintiff and members of the Class that she seeks to represent were subjected to the
13	violations described in this Complaint.	
14	Defendant	
15	9.	Defendant Noble Credit Union is a member-owned credit union that serves the
16		
17		ey of California.
18	10.	Noble is headquartered in Fresno, California and maintains branch locations in
19	Clovis, Selm	a, and Madera counties.
20	11.	An applicant becomes a member of Noble in four ways: (1) family member of a
21	current mem	ber or eligible member; (2) living in the same household of a current or eligible
22	member; (3) member of the Friends of the Fresno County Public Library and Friends of the Madera	
23	County Library: or (4) employees, volunteers, members, or those retired from eligible business	
24		
25	partners.	
26	12.	Noble offers consumers a range of financial and credit products, including
27	consumer bai	nking services, loans, credit cards, and retirement and investment products.
28		- 2 -
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
2	13. This action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and members of the proposed Plaintiff	
3	Class. This class seeks damages, declaratory and injunctive relief.	
4	14. On or around July 13, 2023, Plaintiff Peraza applied for an auto loan in the amount	
5	of \$35,865.30. She listed her father, Rodolfo Peraza Osuna, as a co-signer for the loan. On that	
6	same day, Noble consumer loan originator representative, Erick Groat, emailed Plaintiff Peraza to	
7	inform her that her loan application was approved in the amount of \$35,000.	
8 9	15. On July 14, 2023, Noble sent an offer letter to Plaintiff Peraza for a loan in the	
10	amount of \$35,000 with a down-payment of \$10,789.74 and a loan term of 72 months at a 7.09%	
11	interest rate. Plaintiff Peraza accepted the terms of the letter and proceeded with the application	
12	process.	
13	16. On July 19, 2023, Noble sent an adverse action letter to Plaintiff Peraza and her	
14	father, informing them that "[Noble] cannot grant you credit at this time" without further	
15	explanation for the reasons of the denial. Noble representative Erick Groat informed Plaintiff	
16 17	Peraza that the loan was denied because her driver's license states that it is for "limited-term."	
17	17. On July 20, 2023, Plaintiff Peraza emailed and complained to Noble representative	
19	Vanessa Aguilar that the loan was previously approved and, without explanation, it was denied	
20	based on her driver's license indicating that it is "limited-term" despite Plaintiff Peraza having a	
21	banking relationship with Noble.	
22	18. On July 21, 2023, Plaintiff Peraza sent a copy of her driver's license via email to	
23	Noble Representative Aguilar, showing that her driver's license is stamped with "limited-term" on	
24	the front with an expiration date of July 26, 2027.	
25 26	the front with an expiration date of July 20, 2027.	
26 27		
28		
	- 3 - CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

1	19. On September 7, 2023, Noble sent a letter, informing them that Noble is "unable to	
2	offer you credit on the terms that you requested" as well as "[Noble does] not grant credit to any	
3	applicants on the terms and conditions you requested."	
4	20. Plaintiff Peraza has not applied for any loan or product from Noble following the	
5	denial of her loan application.	
6	21. Plaintiff Peraza later applied and received a loan from another bank in the amount	
7	of \$35,000 with a higher interest rate of 8.29% and a \$10,789.74 down-payment.	
8 9	22. Plaintiff Peraza suffered harm as a result of Noble's denial of her loan application	
10	on the basis of her alienage or immigration status. Noble's denial of her application caused	
11	Plaintiff Peraza to suffer harm, including actual damages, emotional distress, and negative effects	
12	of incurring a loan with less favorable terms compared to the loan offered by Noble.	
13	23. Plaintiff Peraza has never previously been denied the opportunity to apply for credit	
14	because of her immigration status. Noble's denial of her loan application caused Plaintiff Peraza	
15	to feel the deleterious effects of discrimination.	
16 17	24. Noble's refusal to offer Plaintiff Peraza an opportunity to receive credit because of	
17	its limited and arbitrary immigration-status requirements is a violation of the California Unruh	
19	Civil Rights Act.	
20	25. There is an actual and substantial controversy between Plaintiff and Noble.	
21	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	
22	26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in all preceding paragraphs.	
23	27. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action under California Code of Civil	
24	Procedure § 382 on behalf of herself and all persons similarly situated denied loan or credit	
25 26	products by Noble in the State of California on the basis of their alienage or immigration status.	
20		
28		
	- 4 - CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

4	28. Plaintiff seeks to represent the following Credit Denial Class, composed of, and	
1	defined as follows:	
2		
3	Persons with Social Security Numbers who attempted to apply for a loan or credit product from Noble Credit Union but were denied full and equal	
4	consideration by Noble based on their alienage or immigration status.	
5	29. Plaintiff may amend the above class definition as permitted by this Court.	
6	30. The claims here have been brought and may properly be maintained as a class	
7 8	action under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 because there is a well-defined community	
9	of interest among Class Members with respect to the claims asserted here, and the proposed Class	
10	is ascertainable:	
11	a. <u>Ascertainability and Numerosity</u> : The potential members of the Credit	
12	Denial Class as defined are so numerous that joinder would be impracticable. The Credit Denial	
13	Class is an ascertainable group that, on information and belief, consists of at least several	
14	individuals. With discovery, the size of the class will be ascertainable. The names and addresses	
15 16	of potential Class Members are available to Defendant. Notice can be provided to the potential	
10	Class Members via first class mail using techniques and a form of notice similar to those	
18	customarily used in class action lawsuits of this nature.	
19	b. <u>Commonality</u> : There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and	
20	the Credit Denial Class that predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff or any other	
21	individual Class Members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:	
22	(1) whether it is Noble's policy to reject applicants for credit products because they are not U.S.	
23		
24	citizens or Lawful Permanent Residents; (2) whether Noble violated the California Unruh Civil	
25	Rights Act by denying full and equal access to its services to Plaintiff and members of the Credit	
26	Denial Class based on alienage or immigration status; (3) whether Plaintiff and the Credit Denial	
27		
28	- 5 -	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	

Class are entitled to declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief; and (4) whether Plaintiff and the Credit Denial Class are entitled to damages and any other available relief.

c. <u>Typically</u>: The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the
 Credit Denial Class. Plaintiff and all Class Members sustained the same or similar injuries and
 damages arising out of and caused by the same practices and common policy of Defendant in
 violation of state law. The named Plaintiff's claims are representative of and co-existent with the
 Claims of the Credit Denial Class.

d. <u>Adequacy of Representative</u>: The named Plaintiff is member of the Credit
 Denial Class, does not have any conflicts of interest with other Class Members, and will prosecute
 the case vigorously on behalf of the Credit Denial Class. The named Plaintiff will fairly and
 adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members. Counsel for the named
 Plaintiff are competent and experienced in litigating complex class actions, including
 discrimination actions on the basis of alienage or immigration status.

Superiority of Class Action: A class action is superior to other available e. 16 means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual joinder of all Class 17 Members is not practicable, and questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over 18 19 any questions affecting only individual Class Members. Each Class Member has been injured and 20 is entitled to recovery by reason of Defendant's unlawful policies and practices of discrimination 21 on the basis of immigration status and denying full and equal access to Defendant's services. No 22 other litigation concerning this controversy has been commenced by Class Members. Class action 23 treatment will allow those similarly-situated persons to litigate their claims in the manner that is 24 most efficient and economical for the parties and the judicial system. It is unlikely that Class 25 Members have any interest in individually controlling separate actions in this case, and damages 26 27 are capable of measurement on a class-wide basis. Plaintiff and Class Members will rely on 28

- 6 -

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

common evidence to resolve legal and factual questions, including the applicable credit and
banking policy and practices in the relevant period. Further, Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties
that are likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its
maintenance as a class action. The benefits of maintaining this action on a class basis far outweigh
any administrative burden in managing the class action, and a class action would be far less
burdensome than prosecuting numerous individual actions.

f. Declaratory, Equitable, and Injunctive Relief: Class certification is 8 appropriate because Noble has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Credit 9 Denial Class. Noble's actions make declaratory, equitable, and injunctive relief appropriate with 10 respect to Plaintiff Peraza and the Credit Denial Class. Noble excludes Class Members in the 11 12 Credit Denial Class outright from banking products and services on the basis of alienage or 13 immigration status. The Class Members of the Credit Denial Class are entitled to declaratory, 14 equitable, and injunctive relief to end Noble's common, unfair, and discriminatory policies. 15 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF** 16

Violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act (California Civil Code §§ 51, *et seq.*)

17

18 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations raised in this Complaint. 19 Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of herself and the Credit Denial Class. 32. 20 33. 21 Plaintiff and Class Members are persons within the jurisdiction of the State of 22 California and resided in California at the time of Defendant's discriminatory act. 23 34. Defendant conducts business within the jurisdiction of the State of California and, 24 as such, is obligated to comply with the provisions of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil 25 Code §§ 51, et seq. 26 35. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to full and equal accommodations, 27 advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind 28 - 7 -CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

whatsoever no matter their immigration status, and no business establishment of any kind 1 whatsoever may refuse to contract with Plaintiff and Class Members because of or based in part 2 on their immigration status. 3 4 36. Defendant violated the Unruh Civil Rights Act by denying Plaintiff and the Credit 5 Denial Class the opportunity to receive a loan or credit product free of discriminatory conditions 6 imposed on the basis of their alienage or immigration status. 7 37. Under Section 52(a) of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, Plaintiff and member of the 8 Credit Denial Class are entitled to actual damages suffered, statutory damages of up to three times 9 the amount of actual damages suffered per violation, but no less than \$4,000, and attorneys' fees 10 and costs. 11 12 38. Plaintiff and Class Members have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to 13 redress the wrongs alleged here. Plaintiff and Class Members request that the Court issue a 14 permanent injunction ordering Defendant to alter its banking policies and practices to prevent 15 future discrimination on the basis of an applicant's immigration status and to prevent violations of 16 the Unruh Civil Rights Act. 17 39. Plaintiff and Class Members are now suffering, and will continue to suffer 18 19 irreparable injury from Defendant's discriminatory acts and omissions. 20 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 21 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Peraza and the Class she seeks to represent respectfully request 22 the following relief: 23 i. Certification of the case as a class action on behalf of the proposed Class; 24 ii. Designation of Plaintiff Peraza as the class representative on behalf of the Credit 25 Denial Class: 26 27 Designation of Plaintiff's counsel of record as Class Counsel; iii. 28

- 8 -

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	iv.	Declaratory judgment that Defendant's policies and practices complained of here	
2		are unlawful and violate the California Unruh Civil Rights Act;	
3	v.	Permanent injunction against Defendant and its officers, agents, successors,	
4		employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them,	
5		from engaging in each of the unlawful policies and practices set forth here and	
6		described in the preceding paragraphs;	
7	vi.	Award of statutory and compensatory damages to Plaintiff and the Class Members	
8		in an amount to be determined at trial;	
9 10	vii.	Costs incurred, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the extent	
10		allowable by law;	
12	viii.	Pre-judgment post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and	
13	ix.		
14	IX.	For such other and further relief as this Courts deems just and proper.	
15	Dated: Janu	ary 5, 2024 Respectfully submitted,	
16		/s/ Luis L. Lozada	
17		Luis L. Lozada Thomas A. Saenz	
18		MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND	
19		634 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014	
20		Telephone: (213) 629-2512 Facsimile: (213) 629-0266	
21 22		Email: tsaenz@maldef.org llozada@maldef.org	
22		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
23		and the Proposed Class	
25			
26			
27			
28		- 9 -	
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	